Profillinie

|	
Teilbereich:	Onlineevaluationen_FB_Wiwi
Name der/des Lehrenden:	Prof. Dr. Markus Beckmann
Titel der Lehrveranstaltung: (Name der Umfrage)	Sustainability Management and Corporate Functions
Vergleichslinie:	
Zusammenstellung:	Master gesamt WS21/22

Verwendete Werte in der Profillinie: Mittelwert

## 1. Support and Lecture Concept

1.1)	The students are supported well within the course	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.81
			n=1806	mw=3.60
1.3)	The lecturer is able to transfer the knowledge very well	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.81
			n=1794	mw=3.52
1.4)	The teaching materials used during the lecture (e.g. slides, literature, StudOn) help to understand the content better	I disagree — I agree	n=25	mw=3.60
			n=1793	mw=3.36

2. Interactivity and Study Progress Self-Review

2.1)	The level of interactivity is appropriate for the concept of the course	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.73
			n=1801	mw=3.51
2.2)	I have the opportunity to ask arising questions in this course	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.85
			n=1812	mw=3.80
2.3)	In this course, I am enabled to review my learning progress and results on a regular basis	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.27
			n=1745	mw=3.12

3. Research and Practice

3.1)	The research context is considered (methods, developments, projects, results)	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.58
			n=1717	mw=3.34
3.2)	The lecturer links theory and practice (examples, guest lectures, etc.)	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.88
			n=1762	mw=3.43
3.3)	The course motivates me to deal with the topics critically	I disagree — I agree	n=26	mw=3.77
			n=1770	mw=3.30

4. Challenge and Scope

4.1)	The degree of difficulty of the course is	much too low — much too high	n=26	mw=3.23
			n=1821	mw=3.37
4.2)	The scope of course contents is	much too low — much too high	n=26	mw=3.77
			n=1815	mw=3.48
4.3)	The course speed is	much too low — much too high	n=26	mw=3.08
			n=1820	mw=3.28
4.4)	The previous knowledge expected in the course is	much too low — much too high	n=26	mw=3.00
			n=1820	mw=3.19

5. Overall Lecture Assessment

5.1)	What grade do you assign the course in general?	very good (1) — insufficient (5)	n=26	mw=1.54
			n=1822	mw=1.89

5.2)	I learned a lot in the course	I disagree ———— I agree	n=26 mw=3.62 n=1791 mw=3.31
5.3)	The course is structured clearly	I disagree ———— I agree	n=26 mw=3.38 n=1816 mw=3.45
5.4)	The content is aligned with clearly defined learning objectives	I disagree ———— I agree	n=24 mw=3.38 n=1760 mw=3.32